**Public Document Pack** 

# **ASHFIELD DISTRICT COUNCIL**



Council Offices, Urban Road, Kirkby in Ashfield Nottingham NG17 8DA

# Agenda

# **Scrutiny Panel A**

Date:	Tuesday, 7th March, 2017
Time:	6.30 pm
Venue:	Committee Room, Council Offices, Urban Road, Kirkby-in-Ashfield
	For any further information please contact:
	Lynn Cain
	I.cain@ashfield.gov.uk
	01623 457317

If you require an adjustment to enable you to participate or access the meeting, please contact the Democratic Services team at least 48 hours before the meeting.

# SCRUTINY PANEL A Membership

Chairman: Vice-Chairman:

Councillor Amanda Brown Councillor Rachel Bissett

**Councillors:** Ben Bradley Joanne Donnelly Glenys Maxwell Helen-Ann Smith

Tony Brewer Helen Hollis Lauren Mitchell

# FILMING/AUDIO RECORDING NOTICE

This meeting may be subject to filming or audio recording. If you have any queries regarding this, please contact Members' Services on 01623 457317.

# SUMMONS

You are hereby requested to attend a meeting of the Scrutiny Panel A to be held at the time/place and on the date mentioned above for the purpose of transacting the business set out below.

R. Mitchell Chief Executive

# AGENDA

- 1. To receive apologies for absence, if any.
- 2. Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary and Non-Disclosable Pecuniary/Other Interests.
- **3.** To receive the minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on 10th 5 10 January, 2017.
- 4. Scrutiny Consideration of Community Engagement 11 16 Introduction from Corporate Performance and Improvement Manager

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 3

# SCRUTINY PANEL A

# Meeting held in the Committee Room, Council Offices, Urban Road, Kirkby-in-Ashfield,

# on Tuesday, 10th January, 2017 at 6.30 pm

Present: Councillor Amanda Brown in the Chair;

Councillors Rachel Bissett, Ben Bradley, Tony Brewer, Glenys Maxwell, Lauren Mitchell and Helen-Ann Smith.

**Officers Present:** Lynn Cain, Sam Dennis, Joanne Hall and Mike Joy.

#### SA.10 <u>Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary and Non-Disclosable</u> <u>Pecuniary/Other Interests</u>

No declarations of interest were made.

#### SA.11 Minutes

RESOLVED

that the minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016, be received and approved.

# SA.12 Scrutiny Review of Cemeteries (Home-Made Kerb Sets)

The Chairman introduced the item and briefly summarised the business from the last meeting whereby Members were introduced to the new topic regarding home-made kerb sets within cemeteries.

The previous presentation had highlighted the problems surrounding the erection of home-made kerb sets within the Council's cemeteries and the impact this was having on maintenance staff and visitors alike. There had been a ban on the installation of such kerb sets since 2007 and this restriction was contained in the Cemetery Rules and Regulations Booklet that was currently handed out to any purchasers of burial plots.

However, over the past few years there had been a noticeable increase in kerb sets and these had often encroached on land beyond their allocated plots and caused ongoing difficulties for staff trying to maintain the grounds to an acceptable standard.

The Panel Members had recognised that this was a very sensitive topic and that any recommendations would need to be considered with care and attention. It had therefore been agreed at the last meeting that some exploratory work would need to be undertaken by officers to enable Members to fully explore the options available to them.

At this point Sam Dennis, the Council's Service Lead for Waste and Environment and Joanne Hall, the Cemetery Development Officer, gave brief updates in relation to the recommendations arising from the last meeting of the Committee as follows:-

#### Council Installation of Kerb Sets - Costings

Officers were asked to undertake an initial evaluation exercise to ascertain potential costs for the Council to provide a service to install kerb sets on behalf of plot owners. To ensure all relevant health and safety regulations would be met, the installations would need to be undertaken by qualified stonemasons who generally work in pairs due to the heavy nature of the work involved.

To commission this installation work on an ad hoc basis would cost in the region of  $\pounds 2,000$  to  $\pounds 2,500$  per kerb-set and if a stonemason was recruited to the Authority, this would be in the region of  $\pounds 26,000$  salary per year.

#### Purchase of Smaller Grass Trimmers

Officers were asked to investigate the possibility of equipping cemetery staff with smaller grass trimmers and gardening equipment to enable the grass to be better maintained in between graves. Having considered the proposal and taking into account good practice controls to eliminate or reduce vibration risk to employees, it became clear that purchasing smaller, more generic equipment would not be feasible. The equipment currently used by cemetery staff was of an industrial standard to allow for high usage with low vibration.

#### Feedback/Comments from Cemetery Visitors and Plot Owners

It was agreed at the last meeting that options could be considered for receiving feedback/views from visitors (local and out of district) to the Council's cemeteries in relation to the increasing presence of kerb sets and their impact, positive or negative, on the quality of the setting as a place of mourning and remembrance.

Having considered the possibility of ascertaining visitors' views in relation to the increasing presence of kerb sets within cemetery sites, officers were of the opinion that it would be insensitive to discuss such an emotive issue with grieving relatives and friends or visitors to the cemetery sites. From previous experience, it was also acknowledged that there would inevitably be responses 'for' and 'against' the presence of kerb sets and it would be impossible for the Council to show its allegiance to either view in relation to their contribution, or otherwise, to the grieving and mourning process of individuals.

The Chairman took the opportunity to remind the Panel that a site visit had been undertaken by officers and Members recently to view first-hand the problems being experienced in relation to the increasing presence of homemade kerb sets within the Council's cemetery sites.

A lengthy debate ensued whereby Members and officers discussed the following:-

- a suggestion to concrete in-between the burial plots of children's graves (particularly the paths) to ensure ease of future maintenance and thus relaxing the rules to allow kerb-sets to remain or be installed as appropriate;
- to concur that seeking visitors' views in relation to the impact of kerb sets would result in disparate results that would be difficult for the Council to analyse or interpret meaningfully;
- acknowledgement that any enforcement action to remove kerb sets from cemetery sites would need to be handled carefully and sensitively to minimise distress to relatives, friends or owners of burial plots;
- acknowledgement that the installation of kerb sets by the Council would not be cost effective and therefore the possibility for the work to be undertaken professionally (in accordance with strict guidelines) but remain the responsibility of the plot owner;
- the ongoing difficulties being experienced by cemetery staff to cut the grass satisfactorily in-between graves and the poor impression this ultimately creates in relation to the Council's perceived standards of care and maintenance of its cemetery sites;
- the problems associated with the 'digging out' of graves on plots flanked by graves with kerb sets (new and old) with mechanical digging equipment being unable to access the area safely to undertake the work required;
- the possibility for undertaking selective enforcement to remove kerb sets dependent on their size and level of hindrance to surrounding graves and burial plots;
- the problems associated with untended kerb sets falling into disrepair and decay and their impact on the cemetery site as a place of mourning and remembrance;
- the efforts undertaken by Council staff to contact owners/relatives of graves and kerb sets that have fallen into disrepair to request that the graves be tidied/repaired as appropriate;
- the importance of having a clear policy with guidelines that can be easily followed and understood with any enforcement action being robust and consistent for all owners/relatives of graves and burial plots;
- the envisaged difficulties regarding enforcement of the ban for owners of kerb sets post 2007 whilst allowing kerb sets installed pre 2007 to remain in situ;
- the benefits of reiterating the requirements outlined in the Cemetery Rules and Regulations Booklet to relatives of the deceased, at a suitable time once the initial period of intense grief and mourning has passed, to enable the information to be more readily understood and taken on board as appropriate;

Following the discussion the Panel considered various recommendations to submit to Cabinet for consideration. The Chairman took the opportunity to thank Sam Dennis and Joanne Hall for their updates to the Panel and their contributions towards the review.

#### **RESOLVED** that

- a) the following recommendations be submitted to the next available meeting of the Cabinet for consideration:-
  - the current Cemetery Rules and Regulations regarding the prevention of erection of home-made kerb sets within the Council's cemeteries, be upheld;
  - prior to any strict enforcement of the Council's cemetery regulations (in relation to the removal of home-made kerb sets), a targeted campaign be developed and undertaken by the Council's Communications Team to gradually raise the profile and awareness of the issue through recognised media outlets;
  - it be reiterated by the Council that the issue of enforcement action in relation to the removal of home-made kerb sets be dealt with sensitively and compassionately whilst liaising with grieving relatives and friends of deceased loved ones;
  - 4. to investigate the possibility of including a variety of photos of homemade kerb sets within information packs given to purchasers of burial plots to highlight the problems associated with their deterioration and subsequent blight on the surroundings, their encroachment onto adjacent plots and the difficulties being experienced by staff endeavouring to maintain the site around them;
  - 5. the current procedures undertaken by the Council to assist purchasers of burial plots and the support offered to funeral directors, be strengthened to ensure that guidance is clear regarding the prohibited erection of home-made kerb sets;
  - 6. the development of a cemetery guide (small pamphlet size) to assist burial plot owners and grieving relatives/friends to understand the rules and restrictions as applied to Ashfield cemetery sites and to circulate as widely as possible to appropriate venues (i.e. funeral directors, churches, libraries, bereavement charities, community venues etc.);
  - an initial exercise be undertaken with the help of local community groups to consider the possibility of providing a memorial/reflection area or community garden within each of the Council's cemeteries to enable grieving relatives, friends and visitors to place items of remembrance for their loved ones.
- b) to consider a minority recommendation from the Ashfield Independent Group as follows:-

- 1. the current Cemetery Rules and Regulations regarding the prevention of erection of home-made kerb sets within the Council's cemeteries, be amended to allow for the installation of home-made kerb sets in accordance with agreed criteria to be specified at a later date;
- 2. the Regulations be also amended to include a condition that should a home-made kerb set fall into disrepair, the Council have permission to remove it should all attempts to contact the owner of the burial plot to repair/reinstate the kerb set prove unsuccessful.

(During consideration of this item, Councillor Tony Brewer and Helen-Ann Smith entered the meeting at 6.37 p.m.)

The meeting closed at 7.34 pm

Chairman.

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 4



Report To:	SCRUTINY PANEL A	Date:	7 FEBRUARY 2017	
Heading:	SCRUTINY CONSIDERATION OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT			
Portfolio Holder:				
Ward/s:	ALL			
Key Decision:	NO			
Subject To Call-In:	NO			

#### Purpose Of Report

As part of the Scrutiny Workplan consultation process undertaken in 2016, Members raised the issue of community engagement as a topic that would benefit from further Scrutiny consideration.

This report sets out an introduction to community engagement, the types of engagement currently provided by Ashfield District Council through both its public meetings and evolving improvements in the ADC website and social media channels. In attendance at the meeting will also be the Corporate Performance and Improvement Manager, who will assist the Panel with their discussions on community engagement.

# Recommendation(s)

- Note the information contained in this report
- Provide feedback and views on effectiveness of current engagement methods
- Discuss future options for consideration

#### **Reasons For Recommendation(s)**

Community engagement was identified and approved as a topic in 2016 following consultation on the Scrutiny Workplan.

#### Alternative Options Considered (With Reasons Why Not Adopted)

None at this stage. Consideration of this topic is aimed at understanding and discussing current engagement methods used by Ashfield District Council.

# **Detailed Information**

In considering this topic Members should be mindful of a wider corporate review due to be undertaken on current community engagement methodologies, which aims to consider and determine more effective, efficient and modern means of engagement which result in more local people being actively engaged in the issues which affect them.

### Background

Community engagement means involving the community in discussions and decisions relating to services, relevant policies and strategies. It is the process of working collaboratively with and through groups of people by geographic proximity, special interest or similar situations to address issues that affects them.

'Consultation', 'engagement' and 'communication' are all terms that are regularly used, often interchangeably, to describe community engagement activities. It is important that the appropriate terminology is used when undertaking any form of engagement activity in order to avoid confusion and clarity of purpose.

#### Definitions

There are many definitions and interpretations of the specific meaning of engagement, consultation and communication. The following definitions have been included to clarity and direction for discussion of this topic.

#### **Engagement**

The means of active involvement in shaping, designing and delivering services, having a dialogue. This also includes empowering communities to identify ways to improve services, design, develop and determine direction of community activities and services, resulting in the people who use or provide services having a say in decisions about those services.

This requires more input from the community and our employees, playing an active role within the decision-making process for example by attending public meetings, being a member of a steering group or focus group or through active communication between the community and the Council, providing the community with channels to increase involvement in changes that affect them.

#### **Consultation**

Asking people what they think so that decision-makers can make better decisions; formally inviting comments on a proposal or plan. Consultation is often formal in nature and in some cases governed by national guidance. Consultation activities undertaken might include budget Consultation or formal consultation on the Local Plan.

#### **Communication**

A means of informing, and sharing information. This is usually top down from the organisation to its communities to share intentions, and upward to give feedback and share the improvement journey. Tend to be mechanisms we have to inform our customers/stakeholders of any changes, updates, improvements in our services. These can include newsletters, email, website or social media updates, leaflets, presentations, media briefings etc. Effective communication needs to be clear, accessible and easy to understand.

# **Current Approach to Engagement**

Ashfield District Council already engage and consult with communities on a wide variety of topics through meetings of the Council, projects and formal consultation exercises. The main objective of this is to create a coordinated approach which enables thriving, prosperous and self-sufficient communities where people become involved in shaping their own communities and futures.

One of the Council's Values, identified in the Corporate Plan 2016-2019 is;

"We will place residents at the heart of our services and treat everyone fairly, involving people in decisions and asking them to shape their own futures. We will listen and learn, whilst recognising individual needs and designing services around those rather than simply standardising, particularly targeting resources at areas of most need."

Any engagement or consultation undertaken should be accessible and of benefit to local people. Furthermore it should also be carried out in accordance with good practice, transparency and adhere to national policies, such as the Localism Act, which has placed more of an emphasis on local authorities increasing participation, delivering responsive services and providing prompt information by conducting effective and meaningful consultation.

# The Localism Act

The Localism Act creates a climate that empowers local people and communities, giving citizens, communities and local government the power and information they need to come together, and solve the problems they face. One of the foundations of Localism is transparency. Ensuring that local people have access to the information they need will help enable them to play a bigger part in society.

Through the localism and transparency agenda, The Council can expect local people and communities to hold the Council to account in the following ways:-

- Participating in Council consultation exercises
- Utilising on-line expenditure information
- Utilising published performance information
- Attendance and participation in local meetings
- Challenging Elected Members
- Through local elections

# **Current Methods of Engagement**

The Council is committed to ensure that it continues to engage and consult on issues, policies and decisions in the most effective and accessible means possible. To improve community engagement and participation, a new approach to delivering services at local level was adopted in 2014, to promote community empowerment and underpin regeneration of our local town centres/areas. The approach uses the Area Committee Structure to encourage community engagement, addressing local issues by developing and delivering Locality Plans, which were informed by extensive engagement with communities. The Primary ways for active engagement and involvement include;

- Community attendance and involvement at Area Committees, Council and Planning
- Involvement, engagement and participation in the Scrutiny process
- Formal consultation exercises (including most recently on the budget)
- Specific projects, (most notably the New Cross Project)
- Localities Team Support for community groups
- Submission of Petitions (now made Pagier1through the e-petition function)

• Councillor Surgeries

In 2016, the Council also refreshed its website and social media channels to make participation and engagement more accessible and user friendly. Furthermore, at an extraordinary meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in 2016, the Council worked with our Corporate Communications Team and piloted the user of real time Twitter, to promote active engagement and participation in the discussion.

### Considerations

In considering this issue, the Corporate Review will be considering a wide variety of engagement issues including reviewing and exploring;

- who we engage with;
- current engagement methods and whether there are more modern and effective methods that could be considered;
- the usefulness and applicability of different engagement techniques;
- the ease of accessibility.

The role of Scrutiny in this process is not to duplicate work, however it would be useful for Members to consider the current engagement methods used by them as a Councillor and those used by the Council as a whole. Any initial views and thoughts can then be considered by the Corporate Performance Team in its Corporate review of Engagement.

#### **Implications**

#### Corporate Plan:

Corporate Plan 2016-2019, Our Values "Community and Customer Focused, Putting People First."

#### Legal:

There are no immediate Legal implications within this report.

**Finance:** There are no financial implications contained in this report, any identified during the Corporate Review will seek appropriate advice and involvement from the Finance Team in due course.

Budget Area	Implication
General Fund – Revenue Budget General Fund – Capital Programme	N/A N/A
Housing Revenue Account – Revenue Budget	N/A
Housing Revenue Account – Capital Programme	N/A

# Human Resources / Equality and Diversity:

There are no Human Resources / Equality and Diversity implications contained in this report. Any identified during the Corporate Review will seek appropriate advice in due course.

#### **Other Implications:**

None Identified

# Reason(s) for Urgency (if applicable):

N/A

#### **Background Papers**

# Corporate Plan 2016-2019

# **Report Author and Contact Officer**

Mike Joy, Scrutiny Mananger, Email: <u>m.joy@ashfield-dc.gov.uk</u>. Tel: 01623 457232

### Ruth Dennis Assistant Chief Executive (Governance)

This page is intentionally left blank